

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,036	11/21/2003	Jakob Saxtorph	5646-119	6643
20792	7590 06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
MYERS BI	GEL SIBLEY & SAJOV	MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N		
PO BOX 374 RALEIGH,	· - -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2187	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/721,036	SAXTORPH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo	2187				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 23-27 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the calculation Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objectod or by accepted or by acceptance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/11/2006.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,036

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

1. The enclosed detailed action is in response to the Information Disclosure Statement and the Application submitted on November 21, 2003.

Specification

2.	The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:				
The Reference to Priority Application section makes mention that this Application is a					
continu	nation in part of U.S. Application (Attorney Docket No. 5646-118).				
The U	S. Application number should be filled in with 10/714,680. Appropriate correction is				
require					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-11, 12-13 and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 calls for converting a learn portion of a search and learn instruction associated with a search key into a search operation using the search key in response to detecting a prior equivalent learn of the search key in the search engine. It is not clear how or why there would be a

Art Unit: 2187

4

duplicate learn operations to a same search key since the first learn operation would prevent a miss for a second or subsequent search operation to the same search key. Claims 7, 10, 14,15 and 20 also include language for detecting duplicate learn operations and thus are not enabling.

Claim 12 calls for checking the search key to determine whether is has been marked as a duplicate. The specification describes checking a flag in the SNL cache memory to determine if it has been marked as a duplicate and not checking the search key itself. Clarification is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 23-27 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 1, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 2-6, 8-9, 11, 13, 16-19 and 21-22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Regev et al. – US 2004/0128455 – a learn process is an extension of a search process.

Art Unit: 2187

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-4194. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Wed, Thurs (10-4), Tues (9:45 - 6:15).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 571-272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 809-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MBERLY MCLEAN-MAY PRIMARY EXAMINER Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2187

KNM

June 11, 2006